

From: Robin Costas/ESC/R3/USEPA/US
Sent: 2/27/2012 9:49:28 AM
To: Cynthia Caporale/ESC/R3/USEPA/US@EPA
CC:
Subject: draft verification response for 1201013 Part 3

The report on the Dimock Verification/Completeness Check for file 1201013 FINAL Part 3 of 3 R33907 02 17 12 1608.pdf was reviewed and below are the responses for your consideration.

File 1201013 FINAL PART 3 of 3 R33907 02 17 12 1608.pdf

1. A comment in the case narrative regarding the nominal Quantitation limit (NQL) for anions does not seem to apply for the chloride (0.500 mg/L), bromide (0.250 mg/L), fluoride (0.100 mg/L) or sulfate (0.500 mg/L) analyses. This comment seems to be for nitrates that were not run by ion chromatography for these samples. Please verify and remove if not applicable.

***Response:* This comment should have been placed under the Total Phosphate Note. It did not apply to Anions.**

2. For oil and grease run under Batch BB20602, the standard reference material (SRM) recovery (38%) was outside the QC limits of 66.6 to 113.2 %. The samples associated with this batch (FB01, HW19, HW19-P, FB02, HW04, FB03, HW02, HW02z, HW01 and HW05) should be flagged estimated (UJ). This information should also be documented in the case narrative.

***Response:* These samples should have been flagged estimated (UJ). A supplemental report with the corrections can be generated upon request.**

3. It is assumed that all required instrument QC in the method was run and was within the criteria listed in the EPA R3 SOPs since this information is not available in the laboratory report.

***Response:* This assumption is correct and future reports will include a statement in the narrative.**

robin

Robin Costas, Chemist
EPA Region 3, OASQA
Ft. Meade, Md 20755
410-305-2659